UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/816,890                                  | 04/05/2004       | Kenichi Katsumata    | Q80886              | 4026             |
| 65565<br>SUGUDUE 26                         | 7590 01/30/2007  | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| SUGHRUE-265550<br>2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW |                  |                      | GLASS, ERICK DAVID  |                  |
| WASHINGTO                                   | N, DC 20037-3213 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                  |                      | 2837                |                  |
|   |                  |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                  |                      | 01/30/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/816,890      | KATSUMATA ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |
| Erick Glass     | 2837             |  |

|  | Erick Glass  | 2837   | •                              |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add                               | ress                           |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | in the final rejection, wh                       | ichever is later In            |  |  |  |  |
| b) In the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                            |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | *  |  | the issues for                 |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | · -  | ected claims.                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |  | mpliant Amendment                                | (PTOL-324).                    |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro  | □ will not be entered, or b)              □ wi             vided below or appended.                                | Il be entered and an e                           | explanation of                 |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa                           | ils to provide a               |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attacl                          | ned.                           |  |  |  |  |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  | $0 \le 1 L$                                      |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |  | TINGOVN DONOV                                    | AN<br>EXAMINER                 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | NISOHYPATENT                                     | žve                            |  |  |  |  |
|  | SUPE   |  | •                              |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: No amendment has been made to the claims. The 102(b) final reject is upheld.

LINCOLN DONOVAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER